



Pointwise simultaneous approximation by combinations of Bernstein operators[☆]

Linsen Xie*

Department of Mathematics, Lishui University, Lishui 323000, Zhejiang Province, People's Republic of China

Received 11 January 2003; accepted 24 May 2005

Communicated by Dany Leviatan

Available online 22 September 2005

Abstract

We prove in the present paper some new direct and inverse results on pointwise simultaneous approximation by combinations of Bernstein operators by using $\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f, t)$, where $\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f, t)$ is the Ditzian–Totik modulus of smoothness. We also give an equivalent relation on pointwise approximation by these operators using $\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f, t)$ when $0 \leq \lambda < 1 - 1/r$.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Pointwise simultaneous approximation; Combination of Bernstein operators; Moduli of smoothness

1. Introduction

For Bernstein operators on $C[0, 1]$ defined by

$$B_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) p_{n,k}(x), \quad p_{n,k}(x) \equiv \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}.$$

[☆] This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10371009) and the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (102005).

* Fax: +86 578 2134306.

E-mail address: linsenxie@tom.com.

Ditzian [4] gave the following interesting direct estimate:

$$|B_n(f, x) - f(x)| \leq C \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r} \left(f, n^{-1/2} \varphi^{1-\lambda}(x) \right), \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad (1)$$

where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $\varphi(x) = (x(1-x))^{1/2}$, and the $2r$ th Ditzian–Totik modulus of smoothness is

$$\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f, t) = \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \sup_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |\Delta_{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}^{2r} f(x)|,$$

in which $\Delta_t^{2r} f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{2r} (-1)^j \binom{2r}{j} f(x + (r-j)t)$ when $rt \leq x \leq 1 - rt$ and $\Delta_t^{2r} f(x) = 0$, otherwise.

The inequality (1) unified the classical estimate ($\lambda = 0$) and the norm estimate developed by Ditzian and Totik ($\lambda = 1$). It follows from [3,11] that the inverse result to (1) is true (see also Theorem A with $r = 1$). Such results for polynomial approximation were previously investigated in [5,6].

Since Bernstein operators cannot be used in the investigation of higher orders of smoothness, Butzer [1] introduced combinations of Bernstein operators. Ditzian and Totik [7, p. 116] (see also [2, p. 278]) extended this method and defined the combinations as

$$B_n(f, r, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C_i(n) B_{n_i}(f, x),$$

where n_i and $C_i(n)$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad n = n_0 < \dots < n_{r-1} \leq An; \quad \text{(b)} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} |C_i(n)| \leq C; \\ \text{(c)} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C_i(n) = 1; \quad \text{(d)} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C_i(n) n_i^{-\rho} = 0, \rho = 1, 2, \dots, r-1. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Concerning with the pointwise approximation by combinations of Bernstein operators, Zhou [12] obtained direct and inverse results for these operators by using the r th classical modulus of smoothness in 1995. Moreover, Guo et al. [8] in 2000 and the author [10] in 1999 proved another direct and inverse results for these operators by using the $2r$ th Ditzian–Totik modulus of smoothness. The main result of Guo et al. [8] or Xie and Xie [10] is

Theorem A. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 - \frac{1}{r} \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 2r$. Then for all $f \in C[0, 1]$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(f, r, x) - f(x) &= O \left(\left(n^{-1/2} \varphi^{1-\lambda}(x) \right)^\alpha \right) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ \Leftrightarrow \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f, t) &= O(t^\alpha) \text{ as } t \rightarrow +0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Moreover, if $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, (3) does not hold.

We note that in the case of $\lambda = 1$ the above result can also be founded in [2].

In this paper, we investigate the relation between the rate of convergence for the derivatives of combinations of Bernstein operators and the smoothness for the derivatives of functions. Thus, we prove new direct and inverse results on pointwise simultaneous approximation by those combinations of Bernstein operators. Our main result can be stated as

Theorem 1. Let $r, s \in N, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $s < \alpha < s + \frac{2r}{2-\lambda}$. Then for all $f^{(s)} \in C[0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n^s(n-s)!}{n!} B_n^{(s)}(f, r, x) - f^{(s)}(x) &= O\left(\left(n^{-1/2} \delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)\right)^{\alpha-s}\right) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ \Leftrightarrow \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}\left(f^{(s)}, t\right) &= O\left(t^{\alpha-s}\right) \text{ as } t \rightarrow +0, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $\delta_n(x) = \varphi(x) + n^{-1/2}$.

Remark. The example of the end of Section 2 shows that Theorem 1 does not hold when $\alpha > s + \frac{2r}{2-\lambda}$, while for $\alpha = s + \frac{2r}{2-\lambda}$ (4) remains open.

We note that (3) does not hold when $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. Naturally, it is interesting to know what happens to the relation between the rate of convergence for these combinations of Bernstein operators and the smoothness of functions if $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. In this paper, we also consider this problem and obtain an equivalent result on pointwise approximation by these operators using $\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f, t)$ when $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1 - \frac{1}{r}$.

Throughout this paper, C denotes a positive constant independent of n and x , which may be a different constant in different cases. The organization of the paper is as follows: in Section 2, we first provide a direct result (see Theorem 2). Using this theorem, we are able to prove the implication \Leftarrow in Theorem 1. Section 3 deals with the inverse result (see Theorem 3). Theorem 3 is actually stronger than the implication \Rightarrow in Theorem 1.

2. Direct result

In this section we give a direct result which is of its own significance. Using this result, we can prove the implication \Leftarrow in Theorem 1. We state our direct result as follows:

Theorem 2. Let $r, s \in N, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $J = \max\{j : r\lambda - 2r + j \leq 0, j \leq 2r - 1\}$. Then for all $f^{(s)} \in C[0, 1]$, and $n \in N$ with $n \geq M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{n^s(n-s)!}{n!} B_n^{(s)}(f, r, x) - f^{(s)}(x) \right| \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{i=r}^J \omega^i\left(f^{(s)}, \left(n^{-r} \varphi^{2(i-r)}(x)\right)^{1/i}\right) + \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}\left(f^{(s)}, n^{-1/2} \varphi^{1-\lambda}(x)\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + n^{-r} \varphi^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \|f^{(s)}\| \right), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where M is a positive constant and $\omega^i(f, t)$ is the i th classical modulus of smoothness.

To prove Theorem 2 we should use the K -functionals given by

$$K_{2r,\varphi^\lambda}(f, t^{2r}) = \inf \left\{ \| f - g \| + t^{2r} \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} g^{(2r)} \|_\infty : g^{(2r-1)} \in A.C.loc \right\}$$

and

$$K_i(f, t^i) = \inf \left\{ \| f - g \| + t^i \| g^{(i)} \|_\infty : g^{(i-1)} \in A.C.loc \right\},$$

where $\| \cdot \|_\infty = \| \cdot \|_{L_\infty[0,1]}$. Following Ditzian and Totik [7, p. 11], there exist constants $C > 0$ and $t_0 > 0$, such that for $0 < t < t_0$,

$$C^{-1} K_{2r,\varphi^\lambda}(f, t^{2r}) \leq \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f, t) \leq C K_{2r,\varphi^\lambda}(f, t^{2r}) \tag{6}$$

and

$$C^{-1} K_i(f, t^i) \leq \omega^i(f, t) \leq C K_i(f, t^i). \tag{7}$$

We need also the following expressions of derivatives of B_n given by simple calculations (see e.g. [7, p. 125]):

$$B_n^{(s)}(f, x) = \frac{n!}{(n-s)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \int_0^{1/n} \cdots \int_0^{1/n} f^{(s)} \left(\frac{k}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^s u_i \right) du_1 \cdots du_s p_{n-s,k}(x),$$

where $f^{(s)} \in C[0, 1]$. For the sake of convenience, we introduce the auxiliary operators for $n \geq s$, $s \in N$ and $g \in C[0, 1]$

$$B_{n,s}(g, x) = n^s \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \int_0^{1/n} \cdots \int_0^{1/n} g \left(\frac{k}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^s u_i \right) du_1 \cdots du_s p_{n-s,k}(x)$$

and the combinations of these operators

$$B_{n,s}(g, r, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C_i(n) B_{n_i,s}(g, x),$$

where n_i and $C_i(n)$ satisfy (2). Obviously, $B_{n,s}(g, x)$ and $B_{n,s}(g, r, x)$ are also linear and bounded operators on $C[0, 1]$, and $B_{n,s}(1, r, x) = 1$. Moreover, for $f^{(s)} \in C[0, 1]$ there holds

$$B_{n,s}(f^{(s)}, x) = \frac{n^s(n-s)!}{n!} B_n^{(s)}(f, x) \quad \text{and}$$

$$B_{n,s}(f^{(s)}, r, x) = \frac{n^s(n-s)!}{n!} B_n^{(s)}(f, r, x).$$

By a simple computation and introduction, we have the following:

Lemma 2.1. *If $s, l \in N$ and $n \geq s$, then*

$$B_{n,s} \left((t-x)^l, x \right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor} q_{m,l}(x) \left(1 - \frac{s}{n} \right)^{\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor - m} \frac{\varphi(x)^{2 \lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor - 2m}}{n^{l - \lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor + m}}, \tag{8}$$

where $q_{m,l}(x)$ are fixed polynomials in x , which do not depend on n .

Using (8) and (2)(d), we obtain immediately the following:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $r, s, l \in N$ and $n \geq s$. Then there hold*

$$(a) \ B_{n,s} \left((t-x)^l, r, x \right) = 0 \text{ for } r \geq 2, l = 1, \dots, r-1 \text{ and } x \in [0, 1], \tag{9}$$

$$(b) \ | B_{n,s}((t-x)^r, r, x) | \leq M_1 n^{-r} \text{ for } x \in [0, 1], \tag{10}$$

$$(c) \ | B_{n,s} \left((t-x)^l, r, x \right) | \leq M_2 n^{-r} \varphi^{2l-2r}(x) \text{ for } l = r+1, \dots, 2r$$

$$\text{and } x \in E_n = \left[\frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right], \tag{11}$$

$$(d) \ | B_{n,s}((t-x)^{2r}, x) | \leq M_3 n^{-r} \varphi^{2r}(x) \text{ for } x \in E_n, \text{ and} \tag{12}$$

$$(e) \ | B_{n,s}((t-x)^{2r}, x) | \leq M_4 n^{-2r} \text{ for } x \in E_n^c = \left[0, \frac{1}{n} \right) \cup \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 \right], \tag{13}$$

where M_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) is a positive constant independent of n and x .

Using the same approach as in [8, p. 111] one can easily obtain

Lemma 2.3. *If $r \in N, r \geq 2, \frac{1}{r} < \lambda \leq 1$ and $f^{(2r-1)} \in A.C._{loc}$, then for $m < r\lambda$*

$$\| \varphi^{2r\lambda-2m} f^{(2r-m)} \|_\infty \leq C \left(\| f \| + \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \|_\infty \right). \tag{14}$$

To prove Theorem 2 we need also

Lemma 2.4. *Let $r, s \in N, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $J = \max \{ j : r\lambda - 2r + j \leq 0, j \leq 2r - 1 \}$. Then for $f^{(2r-1)} \in A.C._{loc}$ and $x \in E_n$, we have*

$$| B_{n,s}(f, r, x) - f(x) | \leq C \left(\sum_{i=r}^J \omega^i \left(f, \left(n^{-r} \varphi^{2(i-r)}(x) \right)^{1/i} \right) + n^{-r} \varphi^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \left(\| f \| + \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \|_\infty \right) \right), \tag{15}$$

where $n \geq \max \left\{ \left(2JM_2^{1/r} \right)^{J/r}, s \right\}$.

Proof. We should construct a new operator $A_n(f, x)$ that satisfies $A_n((t-x)^j, x) = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, J$ by adding operators to $B_{n,s}(f, r, x)$. For $n \geq s$ and $r \leq i \leq J$, we define

$$T_{n,i}(f, x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{i!} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) \bar{\Delta}_{|R_{n,i}(x)|^{1/i}}^i f(x) & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{i!} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) \bar{\Delta}_{-|R_{n,i}(x)|^{1/i}}^i f(x) & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $R_{n,i}(x) = B_{n,s}((t-x)^i, r, x)$, and $\bar{\Delta}_t^i f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} f(x + (i-k)t)$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1 - it$ and $\bar{\Delta}_t^i f(x) = 0$ otherwise. Using (3.2.3) and (2.1.4) in [7], one can easily show that

$$|T_{n,i}(f, x)| \leq C\omega^i(f, |R_{n,i}(x)|^{1/i}) \quad (16)$$

and for $n \geq (2JM_2^{1/r})^{J/r}$

$$T_{n,i}((t-x)^j, x) = \begin{cases} 0, & j < i, \\ -R_{n,i}(x), & j = i, \\ C_{i,j} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j/i}, & j > i, \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

where $C_{i,j}$ is a constant that depends on i and j but not on n and x . Basing on the second line in (17), we can add the operator defined above to $B_{n,s}(f, r, x)$ to eliminate the i moment $R_{n,i}(x)$. However, for $i < j \leq J$,

$$T_{n,i}((t-x)^j, x) = C_{i,j} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j/i}.$$

To eliminate the term $T_{n,i}((t-x)^j, x)$ for $j = j_1 > i$, we add the operator

$$T_{n,i,j_1}(f, x) = \frac{-C_{i,j_1}}{j_1!} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) \bar{\Delta}_{|R_{n,i}(x)|^{1/i}}^{j_1} f(x)$$

(and a similar version for $\frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1$). Obviously, for $j_1 < j \leq J$ we still have $T_{n,i,j_1}((t-x)^j, x) = C_{i,j_1,j} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j/i}$, which we eliminate by adding $T_{n,i,j_1,j_2}(f, x)$ for $j = j_2 > j_1$ given in a similar way. In general we should define $T_{n,i,j_1,\dots,j_k}(f, x)$ by induction. We have

$$T_{n,i,j_1,\dots,j_{k-1}}((t-x)^{j_k}, x) = C_{i,j_1,\dots,j_k} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j_k/i}$$

and for $i < j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq J$ we define

$$T_{n,i,j_1,\dots,j_k}(f, x) = \frac{-C_{i,j_1,\dots,j_k}}{j_k!} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) \bar{\Delta}_{|R_{n,i}(x)|^{1/i}}^{j_k} f(x)$$

together with an appropriate modification for $\frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1$. Hence, we still have

$$|T_{n,i,j_1,\dots,j_k}(f, x)| \leq C\omega^{j_k}(f, |R_{n,i}(x)|^{1/i}) \leq C\omega^i(f, |R_{n,i}(x)|^{1/i}) \quad (18)$$

and for $n \geq (2JM_2^{1/r})^{J/r}$

$$T_{n,i,j_1,\dots,j_k}((t-x)^j, x) = \begin{cases} 0, & j < j_k, \\ -C_{i,j_1,\dots,j_k}(\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{jk/i}, & j = j_k, \\ C_{i,j_1,\dots,j_k,j}(\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j/i}, & j > j_k. \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

Now we define the new operator

$$A_n(f, x) = B_{n,s}(f, r, x) + \sum_{i=r}^J \left\{ T_{n,i}(f, x) + \sum_{r \leq i < j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq J} T_{n,i,j_1,\dots,j_k}(f, x) \right\},$$

where the second sum is taken on all finite sequences j_1, \dots, j_k , for which $i < j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq J$.

Obviously, $A_n(f, x)$ is a linear and bounded operator on $C[0, 1]$, and $A_n(1, x) = 1$. Using (9), (17) and (19), we have, for $j = 1, \dots, J$, $A_n((t-x)^j, x) = 0$. Using (10), (11), (17) and (19), we have, for $j = J + 1, \dots, 2r - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_n((t-x)^j, x)| &\leq C \left(n^{-r} \varphi^{2j-2r}(x) + \sum_{i=r}^J |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j/i} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(n^{-r} \varphi^{2j-2r}(x) + \sum_{i=r}^J (n^{-r} \varphi^{2i-2r}(x))^{j/i} \right) \\ &\leq C n^{-r} \varphi^{2j-2r}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Using Taylor expansion, we can write that

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(f, x) - f(x) &= \sum_{j=J+1}^{2r-1} \frac{1}{j!} A_n((t-x)^j, x) f^{(j)}(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(2r-1)!} A_n \left(\int_x^t (t-u)^{2r-1} f^{(2r)}(u) du, x \right) \\ &\equiv I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

For $r = 1$ or $0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{r}$, $J = 2r - 1$. Then there is not I_1 in (21). For $r \geq 2$ and $\frac{1}{r} < \lambda \leq 1$, we conclude from (20) and (14)

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq C \sum_{j=J+1}^{2r-1} n^{-r} \varphi^{2j-2r}(x) |f^{(j)}(x)| \\ &\leq C n^{-r} \varphi^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \left(\|f\| + \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty \right). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

To estimate I_2 for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, we use (2.8) in [8, p. 112] and (12) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| B_{n,s} \left(\int_x^t (t-u)^{2r-1} f^{(2r)}(u) du, r, x \right) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} |C_i(n)| \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty B_{n_i,s} \left(\frac{(t-x)^{2r}}{\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)}, x \right) \\ & \leq Cn^{-r} \varphi^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty . \end{aligned}$$

By (2.8) in [8, p. 112], (10) and (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| T_{n,i} \left(\int_x^t (t-u)^{2r-1} f^{(2r)}(u) du, x \right) \right| & \leq C\varphi^{-2r\lambda}(x) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{2r/i} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty \\ & \leq Cn^{-r} \varphi^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\left| T_{n,i,j_1,\dots,j_k} \left(\int_x^t (t-u)^{2r-1} f^{(2r)}(u) du, x \right) \right| \leq Cn^{-r} \varphi^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty .$$

Therefore, we obtain the following:

$$|I_2| \leq Cn^{-r} \varphi^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty . \tag{23}$$

Combining (21)–(23), we obtain

$$|A_n(f, x) - f(x)| \leq Cn^{-r} \varphi^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \left(\|f\| + \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty \right) .$$

Hence, using (16), (18), (10) and (11), we conclude finally

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{n,s}(f, r, x) - f(x)| & \leq |A_n(f, x) - f(x)| + \sum_{i=r}^J \left\{ |T_{n,i}(f, x)| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{r \leq i < j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq J} |T_{n,i,j_1,\dots,j_k}(f, x)| \right\} \\ & \leq C \left(\sum_{i=r}^J w^i \left(f, \left(n^{-r} \varphi^{2(i-r)}(x) \right)^{1/i} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + n^{-r} \varphi^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \left(\|f\| + \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty \right) \right) . \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 2.4 is now complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. For $x \in E_n$, we choose $g_n \equiv g_{n,x,\lambda}$ (see (6)) such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f^{(s)} - g_n\| + \left(n^{-1/2} \varphi^{1-\lambda}(x) \right)^{2r} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} g_n^{(2r)}\|_\infty \\ & \leq 2C\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r} \left(f^{(s)}, n^{-1/2} \varphi^{1-\lambda}(x) \right) . \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

From the definition of $B_{n,s}$ and (15), we have for $n \geq \max \left\{ \left(2JM_2^{1/r} \right)^{J/r}, s \right\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{n^s(n-s)!}{n!} B_n^{(s)}(f, r, x) - f^{(s)}(x) \right| \\ & \leq C \| f^{(s)} - g_n \| + | B_{n,s}(g_n, r, x) - g_n(x) | \\ & \leq C \left(\sum_{i=r}^J \omega^i \left(g_n, \left(n^{-r} \varphi^{2(i-r)}(x) \right)^{1/i} \right) + \| f^{(s)} - g_n \| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + n^{-r} \varphi^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \left(\| g_n \| + \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} g_n^{(2r)} \|_\infty \right) \right) \\ & \leq C \left(\sum_{i=r}^J \omega^i \left(f^{(s)}, \left(n^{-r} \varphi^{2(i-r)}(x) \right)^{1/i} \right) + \| f^{(s)} - g_n \| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + n^{-r} \varphi^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} g_n^{(2r)} \|_\infty + n^{-r} \varphi^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \| f^{(s)} \| \right), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (24), yields (5) for $x \in E_n$.

It remains to prove (5) for $x \in E_n^c$. By (7) we have g_n satisfying

$$\| f^{(s)} - g_n \| + n^{-r} \| g_n^{(r)} \|_\infty \leq 2C\omega^r \left(f^{(s)}, n^{-1} \right). \tag{25}$$

Using Taylor expansion, (9), (13), and Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} | B_{n,s}(g_n, r, x) - g_n(x) | &= \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \left| B_{n,s} \left(\int_x^t (t-u)^{r-1} g_n^{(r)}(u) du, r, x \right) \right| \\ &\leq C \| g_n^{(r)} \|_\infty \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} | C_i(n) | B_{n_i,s} (| t-x |^r, x) \\ &\leq Cn^{-r} \| g_n^{(r)} \|_\infty . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (25) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{n^s(n-s)!}{n!} B_n^{(s)}(f, r, x) - f^{(s)}(x) \right| &\leq C \left(\| f^{(s)} - g_n \| + n^{-r} \| g_n^{(r)} \|_\infty \right) \\ &\leq C\omega^r \left(f^{(s)}, n^{-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (5) for $x \in E_n^c$. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete. \square

To prove the implication \Leftarrow in Theorem 1 we need the following.

Lemma 2.5. *If $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $0 < \beta < \frac{2r}{2-\lambda}$, then $\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f, t) = O(t^\beta)$ as $t \rightarrow +0$ implies*

$$\omega^i(f, t) = O(t^{\beta(1-\lambda/2)}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0 \quad \text{for } i = r, \dots, 2r. \tag{26}$$

Proof. First let $i = 2r$. Hence, it follows from (3.1.5) in [7] that

$$\omega^{2r}(f, t) \leq C \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f, t^{1-\lambda/2}) \leq C t^{\beta(1-\lambda/2)}.$$

Assuming that (26) is valid for $i = j + 1, \dots, 2r$, we may use the Marchaud inequality (see [7, (4.3.1)]) to obtain for $i = j$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^j(f, t) &\leq C t^j \left(\int_t^c \frac{\omega^{j+1}(f, u)}{u^{j+1}} du + \|f\| \right) \\ &\leq C t^j \int_t^c u^{\beta(1-\lambda/2)-j-1} du + C t^j \|f\| \leq C t^{\beta(1-\lambda/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

From these, (26) follows by induction. The proof of Lemma 2.5 is complete. \square

Proof of the implication \Leftarrow in Theorem 1. We use simply (5) and (26) to have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{n^s(n-s)!}{n!} B_n^{(s)}(f, r, x) - f^{(s)}(x) \right| \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{i=r}^J (n^{-r} \varphi^{2(i-r)}(x))^{(\alpha-s)(1-\lambda/2)/i} + (n^{-1/2} \varphi^{1-\lambda}(x))^{\alpha-s} \right) \\ &\leq C (n^{-1/2} \delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x))^{\alpha-s}, \end{aligned}$$

which verifies the implication \Leftarrow in Theorem 1. \square

At the end of this section we show that the implication \Leftarrow in (4) does not hold for $\alpha > s + \frac{2r}{2-\lambda}$. In fact, for $f(x) = \frac{x^{r+s}}{(r+s)\dots(r+1)}$, using Taylor expansion, (9) and (8), we have for $x = \frac{1}{n}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{n^s(n-s)!}{n!} B_n^{(s)}(f, r, x) - f^{(s)}(x) \right| &= |B_{n,s}(t^r, r, x) - x^r| \\ &= |B_{n,s}((t-x)^r, x)| \geq C n^{-r}. \end{aligned}$$

However, $\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f^{(s)}, t) = 0$. Let $x = \frac{1}{n}$, then

$$(n^{-1/2} \delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x))^{\alpha-s} \leq 2^{(1-\lambda)(\alpha-s)} n^{-(1-\lambda/2)(\alpha-s)} = o(n^{-r}),$$

which shows that the left-hand equality in (4) does not hold for $\alpha > s + \frac{2r}{2-\lambda}$.

3. Inverse result

In this section we establish an inverse theorem, which is stronger than the implication \Rightarrow in Theorem 1. This inverse theorem is

Theorem 3. *Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $s < \alpha < s + 2r$. Then for all $f^{(s)} \in C[0, 1]$*

$$\frac{n^s(n-s)!}{n!} B_n^{(s)}(f, r, x) - f^{(s)}(x) = O\left(\left(n^{-1/2} \delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)\right)^{\alpha-s}\right) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

implies $\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f^{(s)}, t) = O(t^{\alpha-s})$ as $t \rightarrow +0$.

To prove Theorem 3 we need the following two lemmas. Since the proof of Lemma 3.1 is quite technical, we should give a complete proof for readers' convenience.

Lemma 3.1. *If $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $s < \alpha < s + 2r$, then for $n \geq 2r + s + 1$, we have*

$$\|\delta_n^{2r+(\alpha-s)(\lambda-1)} B_{n,s}^{(2r)}(f)\|_\infty \leq Cn^r \|\delta_n^{(\alpha-s)(\lambda-1)} f\|_\infty, \quad f \in C[0, 1], \tag{27}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\delta_n^{2r+(\alpha-s)(\lambda-1)} B_{n,s}^{(2r)}(f)\|_\infty \\ &\leq C \|\delta_n^{2r+(\alpha-s)(\lambda-1)} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty, \quad f^{(2r-1)} \in A.C.loc. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Proof. For $n \geq 2r + s + 1$ and $f \in C[0, 1]$, let

$$F(x) = n^s \int_0^{1/n} \cdots \int_0^{1/n} f\left(x + \sum_{i=1}^s u_i\right) du_1 \cdots du_s, \quad x \in \left[0, 1 - \frac{s}{n}\right].$$

We notice

$$B_{n,s}(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} F\left(\frac{n-s}{n} \cdot \frac{k}{n-s}\right) p_{n-s,k}(x) = B_{n-s}\left(F\left(\frac{n-s}{n}t\right), x\right).$$

Hence, following [7, pp. 125–128] we have

$$B_{n,s}^{(2r)}(f, x) = \frac{(n-s)!}{(n-2r-s)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r-s} \tilde{\Delta}_{1/(n-s)}^{2r} F\left(\frac{n-s}{n} \cdot \frac{k}{n-s}\right) p_{n-2r-s,k}(x), \tag{29}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_{1/(n-s)}^{2r} F\left(\frac{n-s}{n} \cdot \frac{k}{n-s}\right) &= \sum_{j=0}^{2r} (-1)^j \binom{2r}{j} F\left(\frac{n-s}{n} \cdot \frac{k+2r-j}{n-s}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{2r} (-1)^j \binom{2r}{j} F\left(\frac{k+2r-j}{n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{n,s}^{(2r)}(f, x) &= \varphi^{-4r}(x) \sum_{i=0}^{2r} Q_i(x, n-s)(n-s)^i \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} F\left(\frac{n-s}{n} \cdot \frac{k}{n-s}\right) \left(\frac{k}{n-s} - x\right)^i p_{n-s,k}(x),
 \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where $Q_i(x, n-s)$ is a polynomial in $(n-s)x(1-x)$ of degree $[(2r-i)/2]$ with nonconstant bounded coefficients. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\varphi^{-4r}(x) Q_i(x, n-s)(n-s)^i| &\leq C \left(n\varphi^{-2}(x)\right)^{r+(i/2)} \\
 \text{for } x \in E_{n-s} &= \left[\frac{1}{n-s}, 1 - \frac{1}{n-s}\right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

For the sake of convenience, denote $v = (\alpha - s)(1 - \lambda)$. To prove (27), let $f \in C[0, 1]$. For $x \in E_{n-s}^c = \left[0, \frac{1}{n-s}\right) \cup \left(1 - \frac{1}{n-s}, 1\right]$, we use (29) and Hölder inequality to have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |B_{n,s}^{(2r)}(f, x)| &\leq Cn^{2r} \|\delta_n^{-v} f\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r-s} \sum_{j=0}^{2r} \binom{2r}{j} n^s \int_0^{1/n} \cdots \int_0^{1/n} \delta_n^v \\
 &\quad \times \left(\frac{k+2r-j}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^s u_i\right) du_1 \cdots du_s p_{n-2r-s,k}(x) \\
 &\leq Cn^{2r} \|\delta_n^{-v} f\| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-2r-s} \sum_{j=0}^{2r} n^s \int_0^{1/n} \cdots \int_0^{1/n} \delta_n^{2r} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \left(\frac{k+2r-j}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^s u_i\right) du_1 \cdots du_s p_{n-2r-s,k}(x)\right)^{v/2r}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$\varphi^2\left(\frac{k+2r+s-j}{n}\right) \leq \varphi^2\left(\frac{k}{n-2r-s}\right) + \frac{C}{n}$$

and

$$\varphi^2\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = \varphi^2(x) + (1-2x)\left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right) - \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^2. \tag{32}$$

Using the above two inequalities, we conclude by the formula of polynomial, Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, (9.5.10) in [7] and the fact $\varphi^2(x) < \frac{1+s}{n}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{k=0}^{n-2r-s} \sum_{j=0}^{2r} n^s \int_0^{1/n} \cdots \int_0^{1/n} \varphi^{2r} \left(\frac{k+2r-j}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^s u_i\right) du_1 \cdots du_s p_{n-2r-s,k}(x) \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r-s} \sum_{j=0}^{2r} \left(\varphi^2\left(\frac{k+2r+s-j}{n}\right) + \frac{s}{n}\right)^r p_{n-2r-s,k}(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r-s} \left(\varphi^{2r} \left(\frac{k}{n-2r-s} \right) + \frac{1}{n^r} \right) p_{n-2r-s,k}(x) \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r-s} \left(\left(\varphi^2(x) + (1-2x) \left(\frac{k}{n-2r-s} - x \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \left(\frac{k}{n-2r-s} - x \right)^2 \right)^r + \frac{1}{n^r} \right) p_{n-2r-s,k}(x) \leq Cn^{-r}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the inequality $\delta_n^{2r}(x) \leq 2^{2r} \max \{ \varphi^{2r}(x), n^{-r} \}$, we get for $x \in E_{n-s}^c$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n^{2r-v}(x) | B_{n,s}^{(2r)}(f, x) | &\leq Cn^{-r+v/2} n^{2r} \| \delta_n^{-v} f \| \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-2r-s} \sum_{j=0}^{2r} n^s \int_0^{1/n} \dots \int_0^{1/n} 2^{2r} \right. \\ &\quad \times \max \left\{ \varphi^{2r} \left(\frac{k+2r-j}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^s u_i \right), n^{-r} \right\} \\ &\quad \left. \times du_1 \dots du_s p_{n-2r-s,k}(x) \right)^{v/2r} \leq Cn^r \| \delta_n^{-v} f \| . \end{aligned}$$

For $x \in E_{n-s}$, we apply (30), (31), Hölder inequality as well as Cauchy–Schwartz inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} | B_{n,s}^{(2r)}(f, x) | &\leq C \| \delta_n^{-v} f \| \sum_{i=0}^{2r} \left(\frac{n}{\varphi^2(x)} \right)^{r+(i/2)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \left| \frac{k}{n-s} - x \right|^i n^s \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{1/n} \dots \int_0^{1/n} \delta_n^v \left(\frac{k}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^s u_i \right) du_1 \dots du_s p_{n-s,k}(x) \\ &\leq C \| \delta_n^{-v} f \| \sum_{i=0}^{2r} \left(\frac{n}{\varphi^2(x)} \right)^{r+(i/2)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \left| \frac{k}{n-s} - x \right|^i p_{n-s,k}(x) \right)^{1-v/2r} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \left| \frac{k}{n-s} - x \right|^i n^s \int_0^{1/n} \dots \int_0^{1/n} \delta_n^{2r} \left(\frac{k}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^s u_i \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times du_1 \dots du_s p_{n-s,k}(x) \right)^{v/2r} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \|\delta_n^{-v} f\| \sum_{i=0}^{2r} \left(\frac{n}{\varphi^2(x)} \right)^{r+(i/2)} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \left(\frac{k}{n-s} - x \right)^{2i} p_{n-s,k}(x) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \left(n^s \int_0^{1/n} \cdots \int_0^{1/n} \delta_n^{2r} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \left(\frac{k}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^s u_i \right) du_1 \cdots du_s \right)^2 p_{n-s,k}(x) \right)^{v/4r}. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing $\varphi^2\left(\frac{k+s}{n}\right) \leq \varphi^2\left(\frac{k}{n-s}\right) + \frac{s(1+s)}{n}$, we get by (32), (9.5.10) in [7], and $\frac{1}{n} < 2\varphi^2(x)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \left(n^s \int_0^{1/n} \cdots \int_0^{1/n} \delta_n^{2r} \left(\frac{k}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^s u_i \right) du_1 \cdots du_s \right)^2 p_{n-s,k}(x) \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \left(\varphi^2\left(\frac{k+s}{n}\right) + \frac{s}{n} \right)^{2r} p_{n-s,k}(x) \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \left(\varphi^2\left(\frac{k}{n-s}\right) + \frac{s(1+s)}{n} + \frac{s}{n} \right)^{2r} p_{n-s,k}(x) \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \left(\varphi^2(x) + |1-2x| \left| \frac{k}{n-s} - x \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{k}{n-s} - x \right)^2 + \frac{s(2+s)}{n} \right)^{2r} p_{n-s,k}(x) \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \left(\varphi^{4r}(x) + \left(\frac{k}{n-s} - x \right)^{2r} + \left(\frac{k}{n-s} - x \right)^{4r} + \frac{1}{n^{2r}} \right) p_{n-s,k}(x) \\ &\leq C \varphi^{4r}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (9.5.10) in [7] again, we get for $x \in E_{n-s}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_n^{2r-v}(x) | B_{n,s}^{(2r)}(f, x) | \\ &\leq C \varphi^{2r-v}(x) \|\delta_n^{-v} f\| \sum_{i=0}^{2r} \left(\frac{n}{\varphi^2(x)} \right)^{r+(i/2)} \left(\frac{\varphi^2(x)}{n} \right)^{i/2} \varphi^v(x) \\ &\leq C n^r \|\delta_n^{-v} f\|, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (27).

Next we prove (28). Let $f^{(2r-1)} \in A.C_{loc}$. It is known that there is a function $G \in C[0, 1]$ such that $G^{(s)} = f$. Following (9.4.3) in [7] we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n,s}^{(2r)}(f, x) &= \frac{n^s(n-s)!}{n!} B_n^{(2r+s)}(G, x) \\ &= \frac{n^s(n-s)!}{(n-2r-s)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r-s} \bar{\Delta}_{1/n}^{2r+s} G\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) p_{n-2r-s,k}(x), \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where

$$\bar{\Delta}_{1/n}^{2r+s} G\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{2r+s} (-1)^j \binom{2r+s}{j} G\left(\frac{k+2r+s-j}{n}\right).$$

For $1 \leq k \leq n-2r-s-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n^{2r+s} \left| \bar{\Delta}_{1/n}^{2r+s} G\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right| &\leq \sup_{\frac{k}{n} \leq \xi \leq \frac{k+2r+s}{n}} |G^{(2r+s)}(\xi)| \\ &\leq \frac{\|\varphi^{2r-v} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty}{(k/n)^{r-v/2} ((n-2r-s-k)/n)^{r-v/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Using the approach of Ditzian [2, pp. 281–282], one can easily see that for $k = 0$ or $n-2r-s$

$$n^{2r+s} \left| \bar{\Delta}_{1/n}^{2r+s} G(0) \right| \leq C n^{r-v/2} \|\varphi^{2r-v} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty \tag{35}$$

and

$$n^{2r+s} \left| \bar{\Delta}_{1/n}^{2r+s} G\left(\frac{n-2r-s}{n}\right) \right| \leq C n^{r-v/2} \|\varphi^{2r-v} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty. \tag{36}$$

Combining (33)–(36), and using again Hölder inequality and Lemma 3.2 in [2], we have for $x \in E_n$

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_n^{2r-v}(x) |B_{n,s}^{(2r)}(f, x)| \\ &\leq C \varphi^{2r-v}(x) \|\varphi^{2r-v} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r-s} \frac{p_{n-2r-s,k}(x)}{((k+1)/n)^{r-v/2} ((n-2r-s-k+1)/n)^{r-v/2}} \\ &\leq C \varphi^{2r-v}(x) \|\varphi^{2r-v} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-2r-s} \frac{p_{n-2r-s,k}(x)}{((k+1)/n)^r ((n-2r-s-k+1)/n)^r} \right)^{1-v/2r} \\ &\leq C \varphi^{2r-v}(x) \|\varphi^{2r-v} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-2r-s} \left(\frac{p_{n-2r-s,k}(x)}{((k+1)/n)^r} + \frac{p_{n-2r-s,k}(x)}{((n-2r-s-k+1)/n)^r} \right) \right)^{1-v/2r} \\ &\leq C \|\varphi^{2r-v} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty \leq C \|\delta_n^{2r-v} f^{(2r)}\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

By (33), the first inequality in (34), and $G^{(2r+s)} = f^{(2r)}$, we get for $x \in E_n^c$

$$\delta_n^{2r-v}(x) | B_{n,s}^{(2r)}(f, x) | \leq C n^{-r+v/2} \| f^{(2r)} \|_\infty \leq C \| \delta_n^{2r-v} f^{(2r)} \|_\infty$$

which implies the assertion of Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.2. *If $r \in N, 0 < \beta < 2r, 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{8r}$ and $rt < x < 1 - rt$, then*

$$\int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \cdots \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \delta_n^{-\beta} \left(x + \sum_{i=1}^{2r} u_i \right) du_1 \cdots du_{2r} \leq C t^{2r} \delta_n^{-\beta}(x). \tag{37}$$

Proof. Following [12, pp. 310–311] and using Hölder inequality we can deduce (37) easily. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Following (27), (28) and (37), and using the same method in [9, pp. 164–166] or [10, pp. 380–381], we can prove Theorem 3. We should omit the details. \square

4. Equivalent result

In this final section, we discuss briefly the equivalent problem on pointwise approximation by these combinations of Bernstein operators with respect to $\omega_{\varphi}^{2r}(f, t)$ when $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1 - 1/r$, and obtain the following direct and equivalent results.

Theorem 4. *Let $r \in N, r \geq 2, 0 \leq \lambda < 1 - 1/r$ and $J = \max \{ j : r\lambda - 2r + j \leq 0, j \leq 2r - 1 \}$. Then for all $f \in C[0, 1]$ and $n \in N$ with $n \geq G$, we have*

$$| B_n(f, r, x) - f(x) | \leq C \left(\sum_{i=r+1}^J \omega^i \left(f, \left(n^{-r} \varphi^{2(i-r)}(x) \right)^{1/i} \right) + \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r} \left(f, n^{-1/2} \varphi^{1-\lambda}(x) \right) + n^{-r} \varphi^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \| f \| \right).$$

where G is a positive constant.

Theorem 5. *Let $r \in N, r \geq 2, 0 \leq \lambda < 1 - 1/r$ and $0 < \alpha < \frac{2(r+1)}{2-\lambda}$. Then for all $f \in C[0, 1]$*

$$B_n(f, r, x) - f(x) = O \left(\left(n^{-1/2} \delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x) \right)^\alpha \right) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f, t) = O \left(t^\alpha \right) \text{ as } t \rightarrow +0.$$

The proof of Theorem 4 is similar to that of Theorem 2. And the proof of Theorem 5 is similar to that of Theorem 1.

Acknowledgments

The author expresses his sincere gratitude to Professor Leviatan and the referee for their kind suggestions which improved the exposition and the shape of this paper.

Appendix A. The detailed proof of Eq. (17)

For $n \geq s$ and $r \leq i \leq J$, we define

$$T_{n,i}(f, x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{i!} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) \bar{\Delta}_{|R_{n,i}(x)|^{1/i}}^i f(x) & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{i!} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) \bar{\Delta}_{-|R_{n,i}(x)|^{1/i}}^i f(x) & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $R_{n,i}(x) = B_{n,s}((t-x)^i, r, x)$, and $\bar{\Delta}_t^i f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} f(x + (i-k)t)$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1-it$ and $\bar{\Delta}_t^i f(x) = 0$ otherwise.

For $n \geq (2JM_2^{1/r})^{J/r}$ and $\frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$, by (10) and (11), $x + i |R_{n,i}(x)|^{1/i} \leq x + JM_2^{1/i} \left(\frac{\varphi(x)^{2i-2r}}{n^r}\right)^{1/i} \leq x + JM_2^{1/r} \frac{1}{n^{r/J}} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1$. Following the definition above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{n,i}((t-x)^j, x) \\ &= -\frac{1}{i!} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} (x + (i-k) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{1/i} - x)^j \\ &= -\frac{1}{i!} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} (i-k)^j |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j/i} \\ &= -\frac{1}{i!} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j/i} \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} (i-k)^j \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & j < i, \\ -R_{n,i}(x), & j = i, \\ C_{i,j} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j/i}, & j > i, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact

$$\sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} (i-k)^j = \begin{cases} 0, & j < i, \\ i!, & j = i, \end{cases}$$

and

$$C_{i,j} = -\frac{1}{i!} \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} (i-k)^j \quad \text{for } j > i.$$

For $n \geq (2JM_2^{1/r})^{J/r}$ and $\frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$, by (10) and (11), $x - i \mid R_{n,i}(x) \mid^{1/i} \geq x - JM_2^{1/r} \left(\frac{\varphi(x)^{2i-2r}}{n^r}\right)^{1/i} \geq x - JM_2^{1/r} \frac{1}{n^{r/J}} > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0$. Following the definition above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n,i}((t-x)^j, x) &= \frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{i!} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} (x - (i-k) \mid R_{n,i}(x) \mid^{1/i} - x)^j \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{i!} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} (-1)^j (i-k)^j \mid R_{n,i}(x) \mid^{j/i} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{i+j+1}}{i!} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) \mid R_{n,i}(x) \mid^{j/i} \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} (i-k)^j \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & j < i, \\ -R_{n,i}(x), & j = i, \\ C_{i,j} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) \mid R_{n,i}(x) \mid^{j/i}, & j > i, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where we have also used the fact above and $C_{i,j} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j+1}}{i!} \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} (i-k)^j$ for $j > i$.

Appendix B. The detailed proof of $A_n((t-x)^j, x) = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, J$

For $1 \leq j \leq r - 1$, using (9), and the first lines in (17) and (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n((t-x)^j, x) &= B_{n,s}((t-x)^j, r, x) + \sum_{i=r}^J \left\{ T_{n,i}((t-x)^j, x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{r \leq i < j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq J} T_{n,i,j_1,\dots,j_k}((t-x)^j, x) \right\} \\ &= 0 + \sum_{i=r}^J \left\{ 0 + \sum_{r \leq i < j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq J} 0 \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $r \leq j \leq J$, using (17) and (19), we have (note that, when $J = r$ the second sum does not exist in the following Eqs. (38) and (39), and when $j = r$, by the first line in (19),

the second sum does not exist in the following Eq. (40).

$$A_n \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \equiv B_{n,s} \left((t-x)^j, r, x \right) + \sum_{i=r}^J \left\{ T_{n,i} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) + \sum_{r \leq i < j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq J} T_{n,i,j_1,\dots,j_k} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \right\} \tag{38}$$

$$\equiv R_{n,j}(x) + \sum_{i=r}^J T_{n,i} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{j-r} \sum_{i=r}^{j-k} \sum_{j_1=i+1}^{j-k+1} \dots \sum_{j_k=j_{k-1}+1}^J T_{n,i,j_1,\dots,j_k} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \tag{39}$$

$$= R_{n,j}(x) + \sum_{i=r}^j T_{n,i} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{j-r} \sum_{i=r}^{j-k} \sum_{j_1=i+1}^{j-k+1} \dots \sum_{j_k=j_{k-1}+1}^j T_{n,i,j_1,\dots,j_k} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \tag{40}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= R_{n,j}(x) + \sum_{i=r}^j T_{n,i} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=r}^{j-1} \sum_{j_1=i+1}^j T_{n,i,j_1} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=r}^{j-2} \sum_{j_1=i+1}^{j-1} \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^j T_{n,i,j_1,j_2} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \\ &\quad + \dots + \sum_{i=r}^{r+1} \sum_{j_1=i+1}^{r+2} \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^{r+3} \dots \sum_{j_{j-r-2}=j_{j-r-3}+1}^{j-1} \sum_{j_{j-r-1}=j_{j-r-2}+1}^j \\ &\quad \times T_{n,i,j_1,j_2,\dots,j_{j-r-2},j_{j-r-1}} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \\ &\quad + T_{n,r,r+1,r+2,\dots,j-2,j-1,j} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= R_{n,j}(x) + T_{n,j} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=r}^{j-1} T_{n,i} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \sum_{i=r}^{j-1} T_{n,i,j} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=r}^{j-2} \sum_{j_1=i+1}^{j-1} T_{n,i,j_1} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i=r}^{j-2} \sum_{j_1=i+1}^{j-1} T_{n,i,j_1,j} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \\
& + \sum_{i=r}^{j-3} \sum_{j_1=i+1}^{j-2} \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^{j-1} T_{n,i,j_1,j_2} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \\
& + \cdots + \sum_{i=r}^{r+1} \sum_{j_1=i+1}^{r+2} \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^{r+3} \cdots \\
& \times \sum_{j_{j-r-2}=j_{j-r-3}+1}^{j-1} T_{n,i,j_1,j_2,\dots,j_{j-r-2},j} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \\
& + T_{n,r,r+1,r+2,\dots,j-2,j-1} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \\
& + T_{n,r,r+1,r+2,\dots,j-2,j-1,j} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) \tag{42} \\
= & R_{n,j}(x) - R_{n,j}(x) + \sum_{i=r}^{j-1} C_{i,j} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j/i} \\
& - \sum_{i=r}^{j-1} C_{i,j} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j/i} \\
& + \sum_{i=r}^{j-2} \sum_{j_1=i+1}^{j-1} C_{i,j_1,j} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j/i} \\
& - \sum_{i=r}^{j-2} \sum_{j_1=i+1}^{j-1} C_{i,j_1,j} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j/i} \\
& + \sum_{i=r}^{j-3} \sum_{j_1=i+1}^{j-2} \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^{j-1} C_{i,j_1,j_2,j} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j/i} \\
& - \cdots - \sum_{i=r}^{r+1} \sum_{j_1=i+1}^{r+2} \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^{r+3} \cdots \\
& \times \sum_{j_{j-r-2}=j_{j-r-3}+1}^{j-1} C_{i,j_1,j_2,\dots,j_{j-r-2},j} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j/i} \\
& + C_{r,r+1,r+2,\dots,j-2,j-1,j} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j/i} \\
& - C_{r,r+1,r+2,\dots,j-2,j-1,j} (\operatorname{sgn} R_{n,i}(x)) |R_{n,i}(x)|^{j/i} \\
= & 0. \tag{43}
\end{aligned}$$

In the equalities above, Eq. (38) follows from the definition of A_n , Eq. (39) follows from the definition of the second sum in Eq. (38), and Eq. (40) is obtained using the first line of

(17) and (19). Eq. (41) is the detailed representation of $\sum_{k=1}^{j-r}$ in Eq. (40), where we note that

$$\sum_{i=r}^r \sum_{j_1=i+1}^{r+1} \cdots \sum_{j_{j-r}=j_{j-r-1}+1}^j T_{n,i,j_1,\dots,j_{j-r}} \left((t-x)^j, x \right) = T_{n,r,r+1,\dots,j} \left((t-x)^j, x \right).$$

In Eq. (42), when $j_1 = j - 1$ we only have $i \leq j - 2$, when $j_2 = j - 1$ we only have $j_1 \leq j - 2$ and $i \leq j - 3$, and we can deduced the rest by analogy. Eq. (43) is obtained using the second and third lines in (17) and (19).

References

- [1] P.L. Butzer, Linear combinations of Bernstein polynomials, *Canad. J. Math.* 5 (1953) 559–567.
- [2] Z. Ditzian, A global inverse theorem for combinations of Bernstein polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory* 26 (1979) 277–292.
- [3] Z. Ditzian, Rate of convergence for Bernstein polynomials revisited, *J. Approx. Theory* 50 (1987) 40–48.
- [4] Z. Ditzian, Direct estimate for Bernstein polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory* 79 (1994) 165–166.
- [5] Z. Ditzian, D. Jiang, Approximation of functions by polynomials in $C[-1, 1]$, *Canad. J. Math.* 44 (1992) 924–940.
- [6] Z. Ditzian, D. Jiang, D. Leviatan, Simultaneous polynomial approximation, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 24 (1993) 1652–1661.
- [7] Z. Ditzian, V. Totik, *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer, Berlin, New York, 1987.
- [8] S.S. Guo, C.X. Li, X. Liu, Z.J. Song, Pointwise approximation for linear combinations of Bernstein operators, *J. Approx. Theory* 107 (2000) 109–120.
- [9] S.S. Guo, C.X. Li, Y.G. Sun, G. Yang, S.J. Yue, Pointwise estimate for Szasz-type operators, *J. Approx. Theory* 94 (1998) 160–171.
- [10] L.S. Xie, T.F. Xie, A pointwise inverse theorem for combination of Bernstein polynomials, *Chinese J. Contemporary Math.* 20 (1999) 373–386.
- [11] D.X. Zhou, On a conjecture of Z. Ditzian, *J. Approx. Theory* 69 (1992) 167–172.
- [12] D.X. Zhou, On smoothness characterized by Bernstein type operators, *J. Approx. Theory* 81 (1995) 303–315.